

Minutes
Rio Linda / Elverta Community Water District
Executive Committee

June 10, 2026 @ 6:00 P.M.

THIS MEETING WAS PHYSICALLY OPEN TO THE PUBLIC
RLECWD DISTRICT OFFICE
730 L STREET, RIO LINDA CA 95673

OR REMOTELY WITH ZOOM INFORMATION BELOW:

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89660327710?pwd=uwAy9fqJF5ljW3Z98NlqYLsZfRfG2O.1>

Meeting ID: 89660327710

Passcode: 834386

PHONE IN: 1-669-900-6833

Director Vicky Young will participate remotely from 6740 W. 4th Street, Rio Linda, CA 95673
Director Maria Livertt will participate remotely from 600 Martinsville Road, Oakland, KY 42159

This meeting was attended by Director Young, Director Liverett, Interim General Manager Felix Felix, and Contract District Engineer Mike Vasquez.

Public Comment: There were three public members in attendance, but neither commented.

Call to order: 6:00 P.M.

Items for Discussion:

1. Public Works Projects Update (Contract District Engineer).

The first item is the Hexavalent Chromium Compliance Plan Report. I have been working diligently with a consultant to put together a package to continue in our goal of beginning the design Cr6 treatment at Wells 2a and 10. We are working with Affinity Engineering as they are the best fit, due to their previous experience with Well 10. I don't have a full package yet, but I plan on presenting a package at the next Board meeting. I will be recommending that the Board, move forward with an agreement. As soon as I get the package together, I'll get together with Felix and go over the details. As I reported at the last Board meeting, the State had issued a letter letting the District know that the Hex Chrome Compliance Plan that we had submitted, lacked capacity, without treating further wells. We are working very hard on that. I know time is of the essence. That said, my goal is to bring a package to the next Board meeting. So, with that, I'd be happy to answer any questions. Director Liverett asked, "did you say that you are going to ask us to agree to design plans for two wells instead of three wells?" For sure, wells 2A and 10 at this point. I did have a meeting earlier this week with the fiber tech folks, regarding roll up regeneration. Also had another meeting with Felix and I with Provost and Pritchard, along with Affinity Engineering into transitioning, the design at Well 15, from a single use media ion exchange treatment system, into a roll up regeneration method as well, which would be a significant capital cost savings. So, that is what we are still working on. I'm just not sure of the cost yet. At the very minimum, we need to get going on the design for well, 2A and 10. Well 15 is so far along with its current design that the transition into a roll up regeneration type of system can allow us to hold off on that project for a month or so. Director Young made a recommendation "to forward it to the full Board. Director Liverett "recommended that we... hire somebody right now or at the next meeting".

The 2nd item is the 2025-2026 fiscal year, Capital Improvement Program, Valve Replacement Project. We awarded the contract to Rawles Engineering. They are scheduled to begin potholing next week and a week after to begin work on a priority location as established by our operations staff. In the agreement it is labeled as set 11, either wise known as, at the intersection of M Street and Fourth Avenue. That's the first location, but you'll start seeing some construction throughout the district over the next two to six weeks.

Item 3 is the 2025 Urban Water Management Plan, that contract was also awarded. We have a kickoff meeting with the consultant, Water Works Engineering on Wednesday. That'll be attended by myself and Felix, Frank, and the Waterworks Engineering Staff. So, at that time, we're going to really harp on the schedule. One of the biggest things about getting the Urban Water Management Plan done in a timely manner is that the State Water Board and DWR, took some time to produce a guidebook. They did not change the deadline for submittal, which is July 1st. That's going to be exceeded, but we're going to work with the consultant to get it done as soon as possible. The biggest drawback with not having one completed is that grant

funding cannot be received until the Urban Water Management Plan has been submitted. Director Liverett enquired, "who is the project manager on that"? Colleen Boak., while Mike Fisher is a Principal engineer there.

Item 4 is the water meter replacement project. As of last week, we've had 167 meters installed. The contract total for the project was \$86,000. We anticipated another 55 meters being installed within that budget for a total of 222 meters. I'm basing this off of the invoices that we received from Rawles Engineering and correspondence that I have with Frank. The projected number is just based on the average of meters that have been installed, um, since the, um, the contract has been on site. So, the average currently is about 11 meters per day. They've been on site 15 days, and we have 4.9 days remaining. What that is, is that we're paying, per day basis, and based on the, the amount of money we have left, that ends up being 39 hours. So, basically, it's four, eight hour days and one, seven hour day. So we're keeping track of the budget very tightly. Everyone is really happy internally with the way Rawles Engineering is performing with the water meter installation. Director Young asked, "who verifies the billing on that". I get the billing, and I submit that to Deborah after correspondence with Frank to make sure that the contractor did install those meters. So every day that the contractor is on site, at the end of the day, they are required to coordinate with Frank in person, give him the sheets of all the meters that they've installed, and all the old parts. So that we are doing a checkup, just to make sure that everything was installed as they said. No trust issues at all.

Director Young mentioned, "seeing a Facebook comment. Where some person was saying that they had a water leak, and they said the department wanted to charge them \$75 to tell them where the leak is, and they didn't seem to think anything was leaking." What is our policy on that? Do we just check up to the meter, or do we go on people's property and check beyond the meter? That's correct. Yeah. Anything beyond the meter is the responsibility of the property owner. Interim GM Felix exclaimed, "That's correct. Our due diligence is staff should at least knock on the door or send a notification to let them know of the leak on their side. Mike's right, it's our responsibility up to the meter. Anything on our end is our responsibility. Director Young, stated, "I just wanted to clarify, that's what I thought the policy was, but I wasn't quite 100% sure". Director Young mentioned "the recent fire at the corner, behind Granite, South Rio Linda, and M Street. Fire units were sent out to contend with an acre that was compromised and the only way to contain the fire was by helicopter dropping water. As a result, it was speculated by some that the water department didn't have enough pressure". Interim GM Felix stated, "you have to consider the time of day and the demand on the system, so all that plays a factor". Director Young mentioned, "the need to stay ahead on public relations mitigate the rumors". Interim GM Felix stated, that "the same thing could be said, even with the County of San Diego contending with the San Andreas fault. They are supposed to be able to provide the communities with 10 days of water storage. That is not the case. So if I were you, I would hold on to your water heater. That water heater acts as a mini water tank. If you want to go tankless, I would keep it in line. So at least you have it as a backup. And as a reservoir source, in the event, something bad happens". Director Young stated, "that's a bathtub and 30 to 40 gallons of water in the water heater". Director Liverett mentioned that, "District Engineer Vasquez and her have been discussing a water tank for storage at well 15. I think that we should bring that to light sooner than later due to new fire code requirements". Director Young stated, "every year, the fire situation gets worse and that requires a lot more water". Interim GM Felix exclaimed that "we have to be careful to ensure that there's a demand for the water. I know that other public utilities are running into issues with nitrates and nitrates, because the water would sit there. The way to combat that is to ensure it is being used. Otherwise, you have to super chlorinate to resolve the nitrates/nitrites problem.

For items 5 and 6, District Engineer Vasquez mentioned that he was helping the District with preparing water loss audit reports that are required by the State Water Board, as well as the Annual Water Supply and Demand Assessment. This report is due on July 1st. So I'm putting that together. At the same time, I'll work with Frank so that in the future, he can do the majority of the work internally, saving the District money. I am doing that at the request of Felix. So I'll be training Frank, a little bit on that as well. The water loss audit reports are a little different. What they are basically is what the name implies. It's finding out where the water that you can't account for between production and consumption is being unaccounted for. This could be the result of leaks, faulty meters, etc. So, working with Frank on that as well. The 2024 report, which was due in January of 2025 and the 2025 report that was due in January of 2026. We're prepared by previous staff. They were submitted but returned as they were missing items that needed to be corrected. The key component with the water loss audit report in addition to the data, is that it has to be validated by an official validator that's completed the course through AWWA. We don't have anyone that is a validator as Tim was one previously. We are working with the Sac Suburban Water District to see if their staff members can validate the report.

And the last item I have is an update on the Central Palms Estate Development, which is a 120 residential unit development. Been working with developers and the County, over the last couple of weeks. The developer has agreed to pay for a water model for the District as part of their conditions for approval, which is a very positive as it does a lot of things for the District to be able to make assessments as to how developments are going to impact the District, as well as, how different fire flow requirements are going to impact specific points throughout the District. As we all know, the eastern part of this District is our lowest pressure, elevation. With a water model that's been calibrated, we can very easily begin to assess what are the most important things we should focus on moving forward. A few years ago, we developed a CIP, Capital Improvement Program that

was based on institutional knowledge by staff like what pipelines were the weakest and needed to be replaced. However, with current technology, we are at the point of being able to make assessments. In return, for the developer paying for the water model up front, the developer would like the capacity fee credits when they install their water meters. Now, what that equates to is a \$50,000 in change, you know, so it'll be about 3 to 3.5, different capacity fees that will be credited. Tim had negotiated this before he left and passed this on to Felix. I've been talking to a few consultants about what the water model would be like. There is one consultant, I think that stands above the rest with her experience within the District. There was an old model that was prepared many years ago, as I mentioned before, the software is outdated and no longer applicable. She did update the model, back in 2015, prior to well 15 being put online. So there is a lot of experience there. I will provide more information as I get it. I don't think it'll be ready for the June board meeting, but I believe it'll be ready for the July Executive Committee. Stephanie Suela asked, "I heard you say there was 167 meters installed. It was \$86,000, and when I divided that, that's about \$ 514 each. Now, I would like to know, is this all metal meters, or is it the meters that has the black PVC pipe on it? Because I had my meter changed last year, and what it was brought to me was a meter with black PVC pipe, but that's not what was changed when they did come back. I think your question is, what kind of meter is it? I believe those are brass Neptune meters. We can grab a meter from the District office and just bring it to the next Board meeting, to physically show you. Appreciate it. Director Young recommends forwarding these items to the Board.

2. CPS HR Consulting Services Agreement Revisions

Next item is regarding the CPS HR Consulting Services Agreement Revision. Jeff Mitchell our legal Counsel provided us with an agreement and CPS made some revisions to it. I shared the revised agreement with Mr. Mitchell and came to find out that he has a conflict of interest as he is also their Counsel. So when I when I received the revised agreement and passed it to him to take a look at, he said he couldn't. That's when I reached out to Scott Fera to review it. The only stipulation was a correction on the doing business as and how they would like to get paid. Instead of getting one lump sum of \$30,000 at the completion of the project, they would rather get paid in installments. So there's going to be 3 installments of \$10,000 for each phase. That said, I wanted to make sure you were all comfortable with it. And if so, then we could go ahead and make a recommendation to the full Board for approval. Director Liverett enquired what the first phase would include to bill for the first installment. According to the proposal, Phase 1 includes developing candidate profiles and recruitment strategies. So the 1st installment would be \$10,000 and so on and so forth for phase 2 and 3 as mentioned in the RFP. If you'd like, I could send it to you so you can review it and see what it states. The RFP is supposed to be pinned at the end of the agreement as exhibit one. In addition, everything you see here in blue are just simply corrections that were made due to oversites. According to Director Liverett, she is still "hung up on the fact that we have all of these resources like the RWA, SGA, neighboring districts, waterworks, and other places like CSDA, Career Center Association, ACWA, and CWEA that we could use to put the word out. We could have gotten a lot of resumes by now. And this started three months ago in April. Interim GM Felix mentioned that "he was a little shocked by the amount of money that CPS was asking for such a small district.

Regardless, there are other places, including B&C water news that a lot of water professionals utilize. The most that CPS is probably going to spend is maybe \$2000 to \$3000. So the rest of that money will be profit". Per Director Young, she "agrees with that. I think that's a tremendous amount of money. Sac State, where they do the certification, has a recruitment site also. There are a multitude of platforms available to us for free. So paying this company to use the resources that we have available at no cost isn't necessarily the brightest thing to do". Director Liverett, stated that "I think that we should probably have something drawn up, release the ad and see how it goes". Interim GM Felix stated that he "was proactive with the job description. I've already, taken the outdated job description and revised it. To bring it up to par. According to Director Young, "I'm hearing that we should sit on this for a bit before we decide to sign the \$30,000 agreement as money is tight right now". You're right, it's reflected in the budget. Director Young went to say, "There's nothing wrong with sitting on this for 30 to 60 days, unless Mr. Felix can't continue as the Interim. Then that would be a consideration to speed it up, but if there's no constraints like that, then we have more time to proceed with alternatives". Mary Harris commented, "I think the appropriate thing to do is to take it back to the board and have them do what Mr. Felix is saying to do. You know, there's all these other agencies to advertise with and I looked at CPS. Their deadline is December 2026. That's a whole year without a general manager here. That position should have already been posted online for people to see and apply. So, take it back to the Board, save \$30,000 and Mr. Felix can put it together and advertise the position". Director Young stated, "if we want to hold off on this, we could certainly put together an ad hoc committee to work with Felix".

Interim GM Felix reminded everyone that "anyone locally is going to be aware that Rio Linda is somewhat of a challenging District. And if they're up to it, with the right personality, that's great. But if they're not, you're going to have to entice them. So the job description has to be written in such a way that it entices someone to be willing to work here. I've already made some revisions to the job description, with consideration to creating a two-tier, pool of candidates. A lower tier that is willing to do the work for the current salary range, and an upper one, for higher qualified candidates. That said, you get what you pay for. The idea is to get a very qualified individual who can handle the challenges associated with this District. So that's just something to consider when strategizing". Director Liverett, mentioned, the District is already on an upwards trajectory". Thank you. I appreciate that. Director Young followed up with "Yes 100%". Director Young recommended forwarding the item to the

full Board with an option of holding off working with CPS and offer an alternative.

3. Preliminary Budget for Fiscal Year 2026/2027.

Preliminary Budget for 26-27. What you have in front of you is a living, breathing document that allows for revisions. It's a forecasted estimation of what we think we are going to be paying in the future. The budget practice that we're using here is referred to as incrementalism. It's not a zero-based budget that is designed from scratch. Is it a budget based off of what we spend last year estimating the cost of living for everything, the gas prices going up, chemical prices going up, parts going up, so on and so forth, taking into consideration Adam Smith's invisible hand. And so what you come to find, the preliminary operating and capital budget is a forecast. No one will hold you to it. It's just something that we are presenting to you all based on our assumptions of what we spent in the past. That's what an incremental budget process is. That said, I wanted to make sure that the budget was presented in a comprehensive manner so that you have a full understanding of the budget overview, introduction, the glossary, and what the acronyms mean. Part of the budget process also includes needing to schedule a public hearing. This is our operating revenue. And I'll let you take a look at it if there's any comments regarding it.

Director Young mentioned that she “went over this very carefully and spent some time on it. The numbers look horrible, especially capital expenditures. Overall, it's a standard run of the mill budget, there's not anything that looks bloated or inappropriate or anything. I don't like the extra \$40,000 more for the vacuum trailer. But overall, I didn't see anything to question.” Director Liverett spent some time looking at it. She stated, that “she did not like the income drop or continuing with this level of legal fees. She also questioned if we received any formal quotes for alternatives, such as used equipment, leasing, or shared services? What changed that allowed the auditory services to decrease by 26,000? Are the revenue projections adjusted for actual water consumption trends, or are they simply carried forward from the current budget, like you were saying? Is there any actual projections as far as that's concerned? Interim GM Felix, iterated, that “we need to make sure that we move forward with the water rate study. So it's going to be very important that we move forward with that as we are in dire straits”. Director Liverett said that “she agreed”. Director Young stated, “at this rate of spending, we're going to be broke in a couple years. There won't be any extra revenue anywhere. That means cutting back on employees and other things at this rate”. Interim GM Felix stated, “what will happen is you'll probably get absorbed by another District. You don't want that to happen”. Director Young agreed, “No, we don't”. Director Liverett stated, “We just have to stop looking back and focus on looking forward and getting into the bad practice of waiting until the 11th hour to make decisions. There's a lot of things that we can do and look at what's coming down the pipe legislation wise. Look at what's going to be required of our District. If we just take the time to review that, then we can start planning now, and instead of doing these knee-jerk, last-minute decisions. For the last year and a half, now, that's all I see. We don't have time to do alternative studies. We have to do this right now. We need to start being more proactive. And, like I mentioned, there are fire codes coming down the pipe. We need to start looking at that tank and stop doing this knee jerk reaction that is making everybody have to do things and making us go broke. We need to look at things like what I had talked with you about, Felix, with RWA, when you recommend that we join that immediately. Because all, I'm just saying, we will have a seat at the table for Prop 4 funding. If we can start helping RWA write the description on profitable funding, then that tells us what we need to do, and it'll help us significantly with their access to their grant writers, access to be a part of legislation before it happens. and actually have a say in how it's written. So we can help our district, you know. There's huge advantages to joining RWA, and not to mention the 5 to 1 ratio that when we were there, that you saw Felix, that 5 to 1 ratio just kind of was like, Hey, excuse me, but we spent \$100,000, and we received over \$500,000. To me, that's just a no brainer, especially with the infrastructure funding in Prop 4, that we could use right now, so that we don't go broke, and I am more than willing to jump on that and hit the ground running. And it just needs somebody with some energy that is going to take the time to mingle with the people that matter, and to get their help, and not be afraid to be friends with everybody”.

Director Young mentioned, “the other side of that coin is the scarcity. We need to have enough water for growth in this community, regardless of some people wanting to stay, as a little horse town. We all do, but we also have to face the reality that everything is growing around us. There's so much growth in this county, in this region. People want to build out this way and we would be, we'd be getting all kinds of development in this area, commercial and residential, if we had enough water to fund it all”. Director Liverett, stated, “we don't have the capacity. We have to look at that. That's what's going to come down the pipe in a year. I think Felix was just kind of touching on that. We don't have capacity. We need to take our largest producing well down to measure our capacity. And so we have to bring more water in, no matter what. I think that the minimum that would even suffice to 700 acre feet per year. We need that new capacity. And however we get it, we have to start working on it now. It's not something that we can wait for, because that's going to be another knee-jerk 11th hour charge. So, I don't want to sit on my soapbox for very long. I'm just saying that we need to start becoming more proactive now, as it will create a larger level of transparency, which this District needs”. Interim GM Felix wanted the District engineer Mike Vasquez to provide his thoughts on the matter. District engineer Mike Vasquez, reiterated, “absolutely. More capacity. We're looking at ways to do that. One of the things that I spoke to Maria about was developing additional sources and that's one of the things that I'm going

to focus on over the next few weeks, having conversations with other local water districts and how we can look at bringing water in from a variety of perspectives. Currently, from what I know, there are some opportunities and some of those opportunities are with our neighboring districts, plural, not just one. So I think those, as opposed to developing another well, for, you know, PF8 doesn't exist, but when you bring other sources, PF8, can be set aside. So it's one of the things I am working on. I'm a little vague in my response purposely, because I haven't made some of those phone calls. We just had a conversation earlier today about that. In addition, I think that having another tank, is going to be extremely helpful, but I know, then you fire it, and the two locations where we have room for that would be well 16 and well 15. I think, that well 15 has potential to combine some blending alternatives as well. But storage is going to be key in addition to simply adding capacity". Director Liverett responded, that "we have to prepare for what's coming down the pipe. That's our job". District engineer Vasquez said, "that is key. And, you know, from a poly perspective it's how we look at this. My job is to do research and bring back possibilities. And, you know, one of the things about Prop 4 that really excites me is that there's a lot of money out there that we can utilize for infrastructure. And, one of the things I was told is the District is not a DAC. Whatever that that means. Funding is key to the growth of a District, whether it's better quality water, more people, more connections, all those things are inclusive of that. And just to reiterate about the water model. This is a very key component to be able to start making decisions about where the water's going, how it's moving, how much is there at different points, you know. It's really difficult to do that without having that technology. So, I do applaud the folks that are responsible in making the determination to move forward. Obviously, it's a 0 cost to the District. But, you know, that's something that every water district should have, especially ours. So the water model will help us with that". Director Liverett, mentioned, "so, Mike, we were talking earlier about the Prop 4 grant. For clarification, I researched the cap to be a \$10 billion bond. That will fund dozens of projects over several years, and small districts like ours will definitely be in the running to compete for monies for planning, design work, and construction. In addition, disadvantaged communities are expected to receive a significant priority in that".

Interim GM Felix queried, "Maria, when was the last time a survey was conducted to determine what the percentage was of Rio Linda being a DAC? Director Liverett, stated, "you know what? That's a good question. I would like to know the answer to that. I don't think an income survey has ever been done". Per District engineer Vasquez, "I think the disadvantage, rankings or ratings have been done just through county records, but, when you have income surveys that really gets it exact to where the community ranks. Director Young, mentioned that "on Trulio and other real estate sites, they will tell you what the medium income rate is". District engineer Vasquez exclaimed, "So when they do that, I believe that might be the case. I haven't written a grant for the District, but if we can show that we're a disadvantaged community of at least 80%, that would be a key number. It might make sense to do an income survey, which, again, aren't cheap, but those are, if you're talking \$30,000 to try to get a few million, it might make sense. So talking generalities here, but you know those are important topics". Director Liverett, went to say, "yeah and speaking of that, that was an amazing question, Felix, I like that. Every program uses different methodologies and if you have a seat at the table where you're writing in, the method at which you can do a DAC, that would even be better. Because there might be a way that the method is different if we could qualify as a DAC or an SDAC, which we even put is higher on that list. Interim GM Felix stated "nothing ventured, nothing gained. I like the enthusiasm. It sounds like we're strategizing here. I like that kind of stuff. Anyway, that said, are there any questions or concerns regarding the preliminary budget"? According to Director Young, "I'm okay with forwarding it to the full Board for consideration". Interim GM Felix mentioned that "along with the AP Invoices you requested, I provided what we spent thus far on legal fees". Director Liverett stated, "yes it's insane and I would like to find a way to stop the bleeding". Director Young exclaimed "that the lawyer stuff needs to be reevaluated and stopped". Director Liverett, followed up with "having a district at this size, is just astronomical and we just need to put our heads together and make it stop. Is this all just the union stuff that has the perp charges coming down the pipeline? Because that is just insane". Director Young stated, "those lawyers want to keep it going forever. They have no reason to stop. I mean, \$20,000 to \$50,000 a month. They'd keep it going forever if we let them". Director Liverett asked "what about that original estimate for \$90,000 that is now \$140,000 on the hydrants? Have we identified which hydrants are not repairable. What priority order they are being replaced in"? District engineer Vasquez said, "I wasn't a part of that conversation. But, I do know a little bit about it. Frank is worried about, inoperable hydrants and he wanted to add it into the budget so those inoperable hydrants can be replaced. Hydrants have tripled in cost over the last few years. To purchase a hydrant, they're estimated around \$7,000". Director Liverett, enquired, "where are we with the \$90,000 original budget? That's what I was asking. The original was \$90,000 and now it went to \$140,000. And so that's why I was trying to figure that out.

Interim GM Felix stated "it's a complex issue and a part of it is tied to the fact that hydrants need to be exercised. It's very important that you exercise the valve so they don't seize up as mineral deposits build up and cause the valves to no longer seat. Hydrants cannot just sit there in an open position. They have to be exercised and flushed from time to time. It's very good for the system. Director Young mentioned that "we work with the fire department, so when they do their training exercises they should open them up and flush them". Interim GM Felix stated, "that is correct. That's part of what a good GM does, is to go and be neighborly and introduce themselves and talk to them about letting us know when they are flushing a hydrant. So we can document that a particular hydrant has been exercised. And also account for the water that they're using". Director Liverett stated, "I understand, that it is what it is, but I think that we should come up with a game plan to reduce some of these astronomical numbers on the budget. Interim GM Felix said, "some of the numbers that you already have suggested were to

consider not using CPS, as that will save the District \$30,000 as well as legal fees. So things like that would be a good idea, to lower the costs. Again, you know, we can't do too much without a water rate study. We can't keep kicking the can down the road. As we will no longer be in compliance with the State regarding chromium 6. We got to get the BAT for that. It is what it is. So I have to be as a matter of fact with you, you need to realize it's so important that we move forward with being conservative with our spending. You brought me on board and it's my fiduciary responsibility to be conservative with the monies that we have available, but also look into other ways to bring in more monies, more revenue in order for us to continue to operate. We have to think about the future. We have to plan ahead and that means that, and the idea here is, I know it's going to be a challenge because a lot of the people here are all about NIMBYism and want to keep it a sleeping community. I totally get that. But we got to keep up with the times. And we have to look into other monies. That's why I asked if we can consider the DAC approach. If so, maybe a community block grant fund can be available for the community. There's also Prop 4 that we can be a part of. There's still plenty of time to join the RWA and maybe look into that kind of funding. So that is what we have for a contingency. So these are the kind of things we should be looking at. Again, nothing ventured, nothing gained. Director Liverett stated, "I am on board with everything that you've just said, I'm in agreement. I have been preaching the same thing". Interim Felix mentioned, "I'm just trying to advise here. What I see, with all due respect, is a slow train wreck in front of me. And you're going to dissolve, and you're going to end up being absorbed by Sac Suburban. So unless you take the reins now and be proactive and change course, and stop giving money to the lawyers. That's \$300K that we could have used elsewhere. Director Liverett, recommends forwarding the Preliminary Budget to the full Board.

4. Resolution for Dismissal of Tim Shaw as GM of RLECWD without Cause.

Next item, is Tim Shaw's Resolution for Dismissal. I was asked to write up a resolution. Director Liverett stated, that "she read it and it looks great as I appreciate Tim's years of service to the District. I wish him the best moving forward. That being said. However, I am not comfortable making official findings regarding equality or effectiveness of his tenure here. I believe the board's actions should be limited to acknowledging his services in separation from the District rather than characterizing his performance. And so, for that reason, I would prefer more neutral language". Director Young agreed.

5. April 2026 Expenditure Report & Financial Statements

The following items include the Expenditures and Financial Statements. Did you see any issues with the April Expenditures and Financial Statements? The Executive Committee recommends forwarding the Expenditures and Financials to the full Board.

Directors' and General Manager Comments:

Items Requested for Next Month's Committee Agenda:

Adjournment: 7:58 PM